nai inefficiencies of the Government anti-poverty programmes, there sterns to be no doubt that their impact has not only been positive but significant.

(iii) A_s t_0 the downward movement as revealed by NCAER, it is not an unusual phenomenon. In the absence of details of the methodology used, it is difficult to accept these figures.

Resources Mobilisation during Seventh Five Year Plan

1317. SHRI KALPNATH RAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that several States lagged behind during the first year of the Seventh Five Year Plan in mobilising their resources as laid down in the plan;

(b) if so, which are those States which so lagged behind; and

(c) what is the reaction of the Central Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OS STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA): (a) and (b) A statement indicating the yield estimated from the additional resources mobilisation measures adopted by different States in 1 OSS-Be is attached. Se_e below).

(c) The States are being advised during Annual Plan discussions to mobilise sufficient resources for their plan.

Statement

(Rs. crores)

States	Target	Gross	Yield estimated Net*
1	2	3	4
1. *Special Category States* :			
1. Assam	41.32	..	..
2. Himachal Pradesh	13.00	17.14	14.12
3. Jammu and Kashmir	21.81	9.26	6.79
4. Manipur	0.69	5.95	5.92
5. Meghalaya	0.88	1.54	0.33
6. Nagaland	0.39	..	..
7. Sikkim	0.34	0.34	0.34
8. Tripura	0.50	0.47	0.34
TOTAL-I	78.93	34.70	27.84

♦Net yield is arrived at after mik nsr adjustment for the deterioration observed in the orig nal titimates of contribution of State Pub ic Enterprises.

1	2	3	4
II. *Non-Special Category States :*			
1. Andhra Pradesh	204.42**	101.67	96.90
2. Bihar	85.60	161.17	134.25
3. Gujarat	106.82	105.45	31.89
4. Haryana	60.90	59.32	50.18
5. Karnataka	189.13	101.45	88.56
6. Kerala	106.74	117.75	107.75
7. Madhya Pradesh	184.63	147.67	106.80
8. Maharashtra	341.58	157.20	66.54
9. Orissa	77.27	72.99	54.85
10. Punjab	100.00	55.41	30.01
11. Rajasthan	103.51**	99.55	62.81
12. Tamil Nadu	100.00	157.87	117.70
13. Uttar Pradesh	163.81	81.86	81.86
14. West Bengal	91.18	75.83	53.26
TOTAL-II	1914.99	1495.19	1083.34
GRAND TOTAL (I+II):	1993.92	1529.89	1111.18

♦♦Includes economy and buoyancy.

Implementation of the afforestation programme

1318. SHRI R. MOHANARANGAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the afforestation programmes being envisage^ t_0 meet the acute shortge of fuel-wood in the country;

(b) whether any suggestions were received from the Chairman of Energy Board to ensure survival of plantation* for tacklin? this problem; and

(c) what steps are being taken by-Government to solve the country's ecological crisis created by deforestation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI Z. R. ANSARI); (a) The National Wastelands Development Board has drawn up an Action Plan. A copy of the Plan is attached (See statement below). Afforestation for fuelwood fodder would help mert the acute shortage of fuel-wood in the country.